Introduction to Bayesian Statistics

Part 8 Conclusions

Benjamin Rosenbaum





- Deterministic part: Prediction model, e.g. mean regression line
- Stochastic part:

The prediction model cannot explain response perfectly, include random error

• Deterministic and stochastic parts both have **parameters** (e.g. effect sizes)



We want to make quantitative statements on our research questions:

What does the data tell me about my model?



Frequentist statistics cannot (mathematically) do direct inference $P(\theta|y)$,

and requires a (methodological) detour via **NHST** $P(y|\theta = 0)$



Bayesian statistics can (conceptually) do direct inference $P(\theta|y)$,

but requires a (computational) detour via **MCMC**

Bayesian workflow

- 1) Research question (hypotheses)
- 2) Data collection
- 3) Statistical model
- 4) Prior distribution choice
- 5) Model fitting (MCMC)
- 6) Evaluate model output
- 7) Quantitative statements on hypotheses
- 8) Reporting
- \rightarrow Workflow not that different from frequentist statistics.

Revise model



designed by 🎱 freepik.com

(1) Research question(2) Data collection

Your responsibility. You are the experts!

Don't let statistical methods limit your creativity in asking important questions.

But at least think of possible analyses before planning your study / experiments.

The Bayesian 3d printer is more flexible than the frequentist toolbox. But still limited to the framework of statistical modeling, and model identifiability



iDiv Ecotron Source: Schmidt et al. (2021) Ecol. Evol. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.8198

(3) Statistical model

Driven by research question / hypotheses!

Deterministic part (mean fitted response)

- Start small. Especially when using Stan.
 - few predictors
 - without interactions
 - simple or no random effects structure
- Not as "forward model selection" ...
- ... but to get an idea how the model works

Stochastic part (residual distribution & link function)

Ideally already provided by data type



(4) Prior distribution

- Flat, weak, or informative priors?
- You can always do better than flat priors!
- Prior predictive checks
- Often easier with scaled predictors
- brms defaults for intercept and sd often good
- Priors for effects etc. are your choice
- default_prior(y~x+..., data=...)



(5) Model fitting

- Run several chains
- cores=4 will run in parallel, saves time for big models

Check convergence

- visual inspection of traceplots
- check R_hat<1.01
- compare n_eff to n_total
- → few hundreds already good for parameter means, but a few thousands needed for parameter quantiles
- take warning messages seriously: <u>https://mc-stan.org/misc/warnings.html</u>

Convergence tells you if MCMC sucessfully approximated the posterior distribution. Convergence does not tell you if it's a good or bad model !



(5) Model fitting

What to do if the model didn't converge?

- Provide initial values for parameters: init=...
- Run longer chains: warmup=... and iter=...
- Increase sampling accuracy: control=list(adapt_delta=0.9)
- Regularization through stricter priors



(6) Evaluate model

If, and only if MCMC converged, plot fitted / predicted against data

Options available from brms and performance package

0

Bayesian statistics does not free you from checking model assumptions

Conditional effects



Observed vs. predicted



Posterior predictive check



(6) Evaluate model

In Bayesian stats, everything is a distribution.

Always use posterior distribution of parameters (not just point estimates) to compute predictions

Fitted distribution / credible intervals: deterministic model part

Predicted distribution / prediction intervals: stochastic model part





Due to its shape, the centre of Croatia is actually located in Bosnia and Herzegovina



(7) Quantitative statements on hypotheses

Statistical inference:

What do the data tell me about my model?

• Read $P(\theta|y)$ literally:

Quantitative statements on model (parameters θ) and their derived quantities, given the data y.

- $P(b > 0), P(\mu_1 > \mu_2), P\left(\frac{\mu_1 + \mu_2}{2} > \mu_3\right), P(\sigma_1 > \sigma_2), \dots$
- "Post-hoc" analysis (emmeans) just computes and compares quantities from model parameters (level-specific means or slopes, across-level means or slopes, pairwise comparisons, group comparisons, ...)



(7) Quantitative statements on hypotheses

Model comparison with LOO

- compares expected predictive performance "elpd" of several models
- penalizes model complexity
- LOOIC is similar to AIC (lower values = better)

Good scientific practice:

Model candidates driven by hypotheses.

Don't just fit lots of models with different predictor combinations! "Fishing for evidence"



15

(8) Reporting

Methods:

We used Bayesian statistics for estimating the parameters of models (1)-(3) using the "brms" R-package. We chose normal distributions with zero mean and a standard deviation of 2 as weakly informative priors for effect sizes and brms default priors for intercept and standard deviations.

[Put priors & their justification in an SI table if you have many parameters]

We ran 4 MCMC chains of 5000 iterations with an adaptation phase of 2000 samples (12,000 posterior samples in total). We verified convergence by Gelman-Rubin statistics (Rhat<1.01) and adequate effective sampling size (n_eff). For model comparison we used leave-one-out cross validation (R-package "loo").

(8) Reporting

Results:

According to LOO, model (3) had the best predictive performance (ELPD difference to model (2): 5352.2 with standard error 709.2). We found a postive interaction effect of x1 with x2 (posterior probability P(bx1:x2>0)=0.970) and a negative effect of b3 (P(bx3<0)=1.000) which confirms our hypothesis X.

Parameter	mean	sd	2.5%	97.5%	
Intercept	8.051	0.724	6.645	8.533	
x1	0.815	0.269	0.255	1.310	
x2	-0.263	0.370	-1.039	0.409	
x1:x2	0.322	0.156	0.024	0.627	
x3	-0.270	0.059	-0.387	-0.230	

"report" package support brms:

https://easystats.github.io/report/reference/report.brmsfit.html

Some resources

Highly recommended!

Uses his own "rethinking" package, also based on Stan

Full course & online lectures:

https://github.com/rmcelreath/stat_rethinking_2024

brms translation by Solomon Kurz: https://bookdown.org/content/4857/

3rd edition available soon?

Texts in Statistical Science Statistical Rethinking A Bayesian Course with Examples in R and Stan SECOND EDITION larch tem 2000 CE f first blossom May 1 **Richard McElreath**

Examples more focused on social & political sciences, but still general enough

Uses "rstanarm" package

Update of their other book on mixed-effects models "Advanced Regression and Multilevel Models" will come out this year

Analytical Methods for Social Research

Regression and Other Stories

Andrew Gelman, Jennifer Hill and Aki Vehtari

Free online version available: https://www.bayesrulesbook.com/

Uses "rstanarm" and Stan

Texts in Statistical Science

Bayes Rules!

An Introduction to Applied Bayesian Modeling



Alicia A. Johnson Miles Q. Ott Mine Dogucu



2022

Free online version available: https://paulbuerkner.com/software/brms-book/

Work-in-progress, full version available this year

202					
The brms Book: Applied Bayesian Regression					
Modelling Using R and Stan (Early Draft)					
Paul-Christian Bürkner					
2024-10-30					

Ecology books

Purely frequentist

Still a classic for specific ecological problems (mixed effects, autocorrelation, zero-inflation, ...)

Many best-practice examples with step-by-step guide of data analysis and statistical inference

Data package "AED" discontinued, but use
> remotes::install_github("romunov/AED")

 \rightarrow Try to replicate some analyses with brms



Ecology books

Purely frequentist

Half of the book dedicated to multivariate analysis!

Methods in Statistical Ecology
David I. Warton
Ecco-Stats:
Data Analysis

in Ecology

From *t*-tests to Multivariate Abundances



Ecology books

Free online version available: https://statistics4ecologists-v3.netlify.app/

Mostly frequentist, just a brief Bayesian chapter

Statistics for Ecologists

A Frequentist and Bayesian Treatment of Modern Regression Models

John Fieberg

University of Minnesota

A Bayesian ecology-related book

A dual frequentist and Bayesian approach

Uses brms !



A Bayesian ecology book

Successor of Kery's 2010 WinBUGS book

Uses Stan, NIMBLE, etc, but no brms

If you're looking for an accessible & concise introduction to coding ecologically relevant models, this probably is the one.



Online Resources

- Stan forums <u>https://discourse.mc-stan.org/</u>
- brms section <u>https://discourse.mc-stan.org/c/interfaces/brms/36</u>
- some tutorials: <u>https://mc-stan.org/learn-stan/tutorials.html</u>

5			
■ Interfaces ▶ ■ brms ▶ tags ▶ Latest	New (1)	Unread (3)	Hot
Торіс			

About the brms category

This category is for questions regarding the installation and use of brms. If you have installation issues then please provide as much information about your system as possible.

Take home:

Frequentist stats are often like:

Test ABC can do XYZ.

Bring hypotheses and data in alignment with test's assumptions

Bayesian (& other!) stats should be like:

Model the data-generating process.

Derive something quantifiable (parameters or predictions) from the model that describes you original research question.

The Bayesian 3D printer



